

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/905,572	07/13/2001	John Aram Safa	SWIN 2276	2844	
7812	7590 06/17/2005		EXAMINER		
SMITH-HILL AND BEDELL, P.C.			JUNG, DAVID YIUK		
	NW CORNELL ROAD, SUITE 220 ERTON, OR 97006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2134		
			DATE MAILED: 06/17/200:	DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•						
Office Action Summary		09/905,572	SAFA Art Unit			
•	,	Examiner Dovid V. lung	2134			
The MAII ING	DATE of this communication app	David Y Jung				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 24 Ja	anuary 2005.				
2a) ☐ This action is F						
<i>,</i>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-64 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-64 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 July 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cit	y (PTO-413) Date					
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tatement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 0/01, 3/03, 6/04		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/905,572

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

CLAIMS PRESENTED

Claims 1-64 are presented.

- CLAIM REJECTIONS

Claim 64 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim 23. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim 64 is not been further treated on the merits.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5,793,027 (cited by applicant, hereinafter "Waegener") and WO 9844402 (cited by Applicant, hereinafter "Bramhill").

Regarding claim 1, Wagener teaches "A transaction verification system for use in verifying transactions between computers connected by a computer network, the system comprising fingerprint means operable in association with at least one first computer of the network to seek information relating to the first computer in order to

Application/Control Number: 09/905,572

Art Unit: 2134

create a group of data to serve as a fingerprint which is substantially ... to the first computer, and to provide the fingerprint for transmission to a second computer when the first computer is operated to initiate a transaction, to allow the source of the transaction initiation to be substantially uniquely identified. (column 3, lines 37-58; column 4 lines 9-22; column 4 line 10 to column 4, line 8; column 6, line 44 to column 7, line17)."

These passages of Waegener do not teach "unique" identifier of the first computer in the sense of the claim.

Bramhill teaches such "unique" nature (page 4, lines 15-29) for the motivation of greater security and identification (page 4, lines 15-20).

Hence, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to combine teachings of Waegener and Bramhill for the motivation noted in the previous paragraphs so as to teach the claimed invention.

Regarding claim 2 (unique identification, etc.), Bramhill teaches such identification (page 4, lines 15-29). Regarding claim 3-19, such particular features are well known in the art for the purpose of handling information across computers and for the purpose of security. Claims 20-63 are claims that are analogous to claims 1-19. Regarding claims 20-63, such particular features are well known in the art for the purpose of handling information across computers and for the purpose of security. See, for instance, Waegener at column 3, lines 37-58; column 4 lines 9-22; column 4 line 10 to column 4, line 8; column 6, line 44 to column 7, line17.

Application/Control Number: 09/905,572

Art Unit: 2134

Conclusion

The art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The art disclosed general background.

Points of Contact

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 746-5606 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Art Unit: 2134

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Jung whose telephone number is (571) 272-3836 or Greg Morse whose telephone number is (571) 272-3838.

David Jung

Patent Examiner

3/7/05